

QSO 680 Module One Journal Guidelines and Rubric

Overview: Journal activities in this course are private between you and the instructor.

The use of case study analysis gives you an opportunity to see project management in action. Case study analysis takes abstract methodologies and puts them into practice. In this assignment, you will analyze the case study will be used for your final project: a program performance report.

Prompt: Begin by reading the case study <u>Value-Driven Project and Portfolio Management in the Pharmaceutical Industry: Drug Discovery versus Drug Development - Commonalities and Differences in Portfolio Management Practice. You will be working with this case study throughout the course, so take the time to familiarize yourself with it. Focus on the important facts and key issues. Use the following guiding questions to focus your reading and assist in writing your overview:</u>

- What is/are the defining objective(s) identified in the case study?
- What are the differences and similarities and the advantages and disadvantages of managing at the project, portfolio, and program levels?
- Who is the target stakeholder group that would benefit from understanding the portfolio management process?
- How does corporate strategy align with the project portfolio in the case study?
- What role does the project manager play in this case study?

Write a concise (3 to 5 paragraph) overview of the case study addressing the above questions and summarizing your final thoughts on the case study presented.

Specifically, the following **critical elements** must be addressed:

- I. Define the **objective(s)** in the case study.
- II. Identify the target stakeholder group and explain the benefits of the portfolio management process to this group.
- III. Explore the differences/similarities and the advantages/disadvantages of managing at the **project, portfolio, and program levels**.
- IV. Explain how corporate strategy aligns with the project portfolio in the case study.
- V. Assess the **role of the project manager** in the case study.

Note: If you need additional guidance in case study analysis, refer to this article: Guidelines for Writing a Case Study Analysis.



Rubric

Guidelines for Submission: Submit assignment as a Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, and one-inch margins. Your journal assignment should be 3- to 5-paragraphs, and any sources must be cited in APA format.

Critical Elements	Exemplary (100%)	Proficient (90%)	Needs Improvement (70%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Objective(s)	Clearly defines objective(s) in	Clearly defines objective(s) in	Defines objective(s) in the case	Does not define objective(s) in	18
	the case study with rich detail	the case study	study but lacks clarity and/or	the case study	
	and insight		detail		
Target Stakeholder	Identifies target stakeholder	Identifies target stakeholder	Identifies target stakeholder	Does not identify target	18
Group	group and explains benefits of	group and explains benefits of	group and explains benefits of	stakeholder group and explain	
	portfolio management process	portfolio management process	portfolio management process	benefits of portfolio	
	to stakeholders using significant	to stakeholders	to stakeholders but with gaps in	management process to	
	detail and examples		details and logic	stakeholders	
Project, Portfolio,	Fully explores the three levels	Fully explores the three levels	Explores the three levels of	Does not explore the three	18
and Program Levels	of management—project,	of management—project,	management—project,	levels of management—project,	
	portfolio, and program—citing	portfolio, and program—citing	portfolio, and program—but	portfolio, and program	
	similarities and differences as	similarities and differences as	submission lacks detail and/or		
	well as advantages and	well as advantages and	has gaps in analysis		
	disadvantages of each using	disadvantages of each			
	significant detail and concrete				
	examples				
Corporate Strategy	Explains how corporate strategy	Explains how corporate strategy	Explains how corporate strategy	Does not explain how corporate	18
Alignment	aligns with the project portfolio	aligns with the project portfolio	aligns with the project portfolio	strategy aligns with the project	
	in the case study using	in the case study	in the case study but with gaps	portfolio in the case study	
	examples and significant detail		in details and logic		
Role of Project	Assesses the role of the project	Assesses the role of the project	Assesses the role of the project	Does not assess the role of the	18
Manager	manager in the case study	manager in the case study	manager in the case study but	project manager in the case	
	supported with examples		with gaps in details and logic	study	
Articulation of	Journal is free of errors in	Journal is mostly free of errors	Journal contains errors of	Journal contains errors of	10
Response	organization and grammar	of organization and grammar;	organization and grammar, but	organization and grammar	
		errors are marginal and rarely	errors are limited enough so	making the journal difficult to	
		interrupt the flow	that journal can be understood	understand	
				Total	100%