

IHP 420 Milestone Two Guidelines and Rubric

Overview: The final case study for this course will require you to analyze a court decision in which a physician was found liable for medical malpractice. You will focus on facts pertaining to the medical standard of care, breach of care, and causation, and you will explain how they were applied to law. You will then use the facts of the case to identify an ethics issue and determine an ethical theory that would help provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient. Next, you will apply a clinician–patient shared decision-making model to describe how the ethics issue could be resolved. You will also include a discussion about possible violations of the code of ethics in your given field. Lastly, you will augment or vary the facts of the case to create a hypothetical scenario that changes the outcome so that the physician is no longer liable for medical malpractice.

Prompt: In this project, you will analyze a court case involving medical malpractice. For this milestone, you will use the facts from the original case to identify an ethics issue, determine an ethical theory that would help provide a safe and quality healthcare experience for the patient, and apply a clinician–patient shared decision-making model.

- III. **Ethical Component:** In this section, you will evaluate the case to identify the specific ethical issues and determine ethical theories and shared decision-making models that would help resolve the issue and provide a safe, quality healthcare experience. Then, you will propose and defend ethical guidelines for healthcare providers to follow in order to avoid future incidents.
- A. Describe the **ethical issues** that led to the malpractice case and explain why the issues are credited with causing the incident. Support your response with research and relevant examples from the case.
 - B. Describe an **ethical theory** that would help resolve the issue and provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient. Support your response with research and relevant examples from the case.
 - C. Select a physician–patient **shared decision-making model** and explain how it would provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient.
 - D. Propose **ethical guidelines** that would have helped prevent the incident and would help the organization prevent future incidents.
 - E. **Defend** how your proposed ethical guidelines will hold healthcare providers accountable to themselves, their profession, their patients, and the public.

Rubric

Guidelines for Submission: Your paper should be a 2- to 3-page Microsoft Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, one-inch margins, and at least three sources cited in APA format.

Critical Elements	Proficient (100%)	Needs Improvement (70%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Ethical Component: Ethical Issues	Describes the ethical issues that led to the malpractice case and explains why the issues are credited with causing the incident, and supports with research and relevant examples	Describe the ethical issues that led to the malpractice case and explains why the issues are credited with causing the incident, but description lacks details or does not support with research and relevant examples	Does not describe the ethical issues that led to the malpractice case and does not explain why the issues are credited with causing the incident	18
Ethical Component: Ethical Theory	Describes an ethical theory that would help resolve the issue and provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient, and supports with research and relevant examples from the case	Describes an ethical theory that would help resolve the issue and provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient, but description lacks detail, is illogical, or does not support with research or relevant examples	Does not describe an ethical theory that would help resolve the issue and provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient	18
Ethical Component: Shared Decision-Making Model	Selects a physician–patient shared decision-making model and explains how it would provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient	Selects a physician–patient shared decision-making model and explains how it would provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient, but explanation lacks detail	Does not select a physician–patient shared decision-making model and does not explain how it would provide a safe, quality healthcare experience for the patient	18
Ethical Component: Ethical Guidelines	Proposes ethical guidelines that would have helped prevent the incident and would help the organization prevent future incidents	Proposes ethical guidelines that would have helped prevent the incident and would help the organization prevent future incidents, but proposal is cursory	Does not propose ethical guidelines that would have helped prevent current and future incidents	18
Ethical Component: Defend	Defends how the proposed ethical guidelines will hold healthcare providers accountable to themselves, their profession, their patients, and the public	Defends how the proposed ethical guidelines will hold healthcare providers accountable to themselves, their profession, their patients, and the public, but defense lacks detail or is illogical	Does not defend how the proposed ethical guidelines will hold healthcare providers accountable to themselves, their profession, their patients, and the public	18

Southern New Hampshire University

Articulation of Response	Submission has no major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization	Submission has major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization that negatively impact readability and articulation of main ideas	Submission has critical errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization that prevent understanding of ideas	10
Total				100%