



SCI 100 4-3-1 Short Answer Rubric
Funding in Research

Critical Elements	Proficient (100%)	Needs Improvement (85%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Evidence	Explains why scientific evidence provided by Patterson or by researchers examining the effects of second-hand cigarette smoke was countered so strongly by the big petroleum and tobacco companies	Explains why scientific evidence provided by Patterson or by researchers examining the effects of second-hand cigarette smoke was countered so strongly by the big petroleum and tobacco companies, but explanation lacks detail	Does not explain why scientific evidence provided by Patterson or by researchers examining the effects of second-hand cigarette smoke was countered so strongly by the big petroleum and tobacco companies	40
Funding	Explains how the “big money” provided by these industries to fund alternate research efforts reflects the importance of the role of funding in research	Explains how the “big money” provided by these industries to fund alternate research efforts reflects the importance of the role of funding in research, but explanation lacks detail	Does not explain how the “big money” provided by these industries to fund alternate research efforts reflects the importance of the role of funding in research	40
Communicates Clearly	Clearly communicates key ideas and thoughts in a short-answer response	Response needs clarification in order to support understanding of key ideas and thoughts	Key ideas or thoughts are not understandable	20
Total				100%