

PSY 634 Milestone Three: Rough Draft Guidelines and Rubric

Prompt: Submit a rough draft of your case application paper. Your rough draft should include all of the following aspects of the final submission:

- A description of the chosen disorder and a comparison between normal brain function and the brain function of a person with the disorder
- An evaluation of the relationship between psychological and physiological aspects of the disorder and the resulting negative effects on daily functioning
- An assessment of the impact of recent technological advancements on the diagnosis and treatment of the disorder
- Recommendations for appropriate treatment options and coping mechanisms for the selected case and other people with the disorder
- Preventative measures that may be employed for others who may be at risk for the disorder

Guidelines for Submission: The rough draft should follow these formatting guidelines: 8–10 pages, double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, 1-inch margins, and citations in APA format.

Critical Elements	Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Not Evident	Value
Description of Disorder	Meets “Proficient” criteria and is substantiated through scholarly research (9-10)	Provides a description of the child/adolescent’s disorder, including the neurobiology of the disorder (8)	The description of the child/adolescent’s disorder is incomplete and lacking in detail (7)	Does not provide a description of the child/adolescent’s disorder (0-6)	10
Effects of Disorder	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes insightful examples validated by research (18-20)	Evaluates the effects of the disorder on daily functioning (16-17)	The evaluation of the disorder’s effects is lacking in detail and/or accuracy (14-15)	Does not evaluate the effects of the disorder on daily functioning (0-13)	20
Impact of Technological Advancements	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes insightful examples validated by research (18-20)	Assesses the impact of recent technological advancements on the diagnosis and treatment of the disorder (16-17)	The assessment lacks breadth and depth in the impact of technological advancements on the diagnosis and treatment of the disorder (14-15)	Does not assess the impact of recent technological advancements on the diagnosis and treatment of the disorder (0-13)	20
Treatment Options	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes an explicit explanation of the rationale behind the recommended treatment options/coping mechanisms (18-20)	Provides recommendations for treatment options/coping mechanisms for the disorder (16-17)	Recommends treatment options that are loosely aligned with the disorder (14-15)	Does not provide recommendations for treatment options/coping mechanisms for the disorder (0-13)	20

Southern New Hampshire University

Preventative Measures	Meets "Proficient" criteria and includes an explicit explanation of the rationale behind the recommended preventative measures (18-20)	Provides suggestions for preventative measures that may be employed for those at risk for the disorder (16-17)	Recommends preventative measures that are loosely aligned with the disorder (14-15)	Does not provide suggestions for preventative measures (0-13)	20
Articulation of Response	Submission is free of errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, and syntax and is presented in a professional and easy-to-read format (9-10)	Submission has no major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, or syntax (8)	Submission has major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, or syntax that negatively impact readability and articulation of main ideas (7)	Submission has critical errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, or syntax that prevent understanding of ideas (0-6)	10
Comments:				Earned Total	100%