

# INT 700 Final Project Milestone Two: Annotated Bibliography Guidelines and Rubric

#### Guidelines

Your final project entails developing a full strategic analysis on a publicly held multinational enterprise (MNE). For Milestone Two, you will submit your **current research summary and annotated bibliography.** This Milestone is due in Module Four.

### **Current Research Summary**

Your summary should be 2–3 paragraphs in length detailing the research involved to date. This portion of the milestone is submitted for feedback only.

### **Annotated Bibliography**

Annotated bibliographies provide you with the opportunity to cite, summarize, and compare and contrast resources you will use in a paper. You will cite each resource in APA style, write an approximately 150-word description that summarizes the central theme and scope of the resource, and compare and contrast it with other resources. For more information on annotated bibliographies, consult the SNHU Writing Center's annotated bibliography guide.

Depending on the assignment, the annotated bibliography may serve a number of purposes, including but not limited to reviewing the literature on a particular subject; illustrating the quality of research you have done; providing examples of the types of resources available; describing other items on a topic that may be of interest to the reader; and/or exploring the subject for further research. Your purpose here is to prepare to complete a final research paper on developmental psychology by locating a minimum of three to five current research articles (from the past three years) that you might potentially include in your final paper; these articles should relate to either Piaget's theory and/or Vygotsky's theory. The articles should provide sufficient information for you to compare and contrast the two theories. (Source: <a href="http://lib.skidmore.edu/library/index.php/writing-an-annotated-bibliography">http://lib.skidmore.edu/library/index.php/writing-an-annotated-bibliography</a>)

## Sample Annotated Bibliography of a Journal Article

The following example is what your final product for each resource should look like. This example (for the psychological research article A Bad Taste in the Mouth: Gustatory Disgust Influences Moral Judgment) employs APA style for the journal citation. The writer of this annotation follows the above points to create an annotation that summarizes the article's main points and draws connections between that resource and other resources:

Eskine, K. J., Kacinik, N. A., & Prinz, J. J. (2011). A bad taste in the mouth: Gustatory disgust influences moral judgment. *Psychological Science*, 22(3), 295–299.

Annotation: In this article, Eskine and colleagues describe the results of an experiment that examined whether the taste in a person's mouth influences the moral judgments that the person makes. The authors, who are researchers at the City University of New York, hypothesized that there would be a relationship between these two variables because prior research has shown that there are strong links between basic emotions and moral judgments. Indeed, the authors found that participants given a bitter drink made harsher moral judgments than those given a non-bitter drink. This article is extremely useful for my paper because it provides evidence that seemingly unimportant sensory information can influence moral judgments. Also, it nicely complements the work of Chapman et al. (2009), who found that emotional disgust and morality utilize similar brain regions. One limitation, though, is that all of the participants in the study were college students. They may have responded differently to the moral situations than older or younger participants.



Abstract from author: Can sweet-tasting substances trigger kind, favorable judgments about other people? What about substances that are disgusting and bitter? Various studies have linked physical disgust to moral disgust, but despite the rich and sometimes striking findings these studies have yielded, no research has explored morality in conjunction with taste, which can vary greatly and may differentially affect cognition. The research reported here tested the effects of taste perception on moral judgments. After consuming a sweet beverage, a bitter beverage, or water, participants rated a variety of moral transgressions. Results showed that taste perception significantly affected moral judgments, such that physical disgust (induced via a bitter taste) elicited feelings of moral disgust. Further, this effect was more pronounced in participants with politically conservative views than in participants with politically liberal views. Taken together, these differential findings suggest that embodied gustatory experiences may affect moral processing more than previously thought.

Consider these highly relevant resource options as applicable:

#### **Primary Resources**

- Corporate
  - Current annual and quarterly reports to the current date
  - Corporate investor website
  - Transcripts of quarterly investor conference calls
- SNHU Library Research Guide Databases
  - MarketLine Advantage: PESTLE country/region surveys, market and corporate analysis
  - o OneSource: Corporate analysis and industry surveys
  - S&P Net Advantage: industry surveys
  - Valueline Resource Center: U.S. corporate analysis
- Cultural and Institution Data
  - The Hofstede Centre : Cultural dimensions
  - o <u>Ease of Doing Business Index</u>
  - Transparency International: Corruption Perceptions Index
- Country Economic Data
  - o <u>The Economist Intelligence Unit</u> (also political, demographic, risk, and more)
  - World Trade Organization (WTO)
  - o World Bank (WB)
  - CIA World Factbook



- o The Heritage Foundation: Index of Economic Freedom
- World Stock Exchange Listings
  - o Available at the World Federation of Exchanges (<u>www.world-exchanges.org</u>)

### **Secondary Resources**

- Contemporary events, opinion, and analysis
  - o Financial Times, WSJ, Reuters, Bloomberg
  - Industry associations

**Note:** Wikipedia, eHow, Investopedia, and similar websites are **not** acceptable professional or academic resources. They may, however, point your research in valuable directions.

### **Format**

The annotated bibliography should use 1.5 line spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, one-inch margins, and Works Cited in APA format.



# Rubric

<b>Critical Elements</b>	Exemplary (100%)	Proficient (90%)	Needs Improvement (70%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Information	Evaluates at least 10 primary	Evaluates at least seven primary	Evaluates some primary and	Does not evaluate primary and	20
Literacy and	and secondary resources	and secondary resources	secondary resources relevant	secondary resources relevant	
Research	relevant for a full strategic	relevant for a full strategic	for a strategic analysis on a	for a strategic analysis on a	
	analysis on a publicly held	analysis on a publicly held	publicly held multinational	publicly held multinational	
	multinational enterprise (MNE)	multinational enterprise (MNE)	enterprise (MNE)	enterprise (MNE)	
Annotation	Annotation describes the	Annotation describes the	Annotation incompletely	Does not describe the author's	30
	author's viewpoint and	author's viewpoint and	describes the author's	viewpoint and authority and/or	
	authority and accurately and	authority and summarizes	viewpoint and authority and	inadequately summarizes the	
	succinctly summarizes the	some of the critical information	partially summarizes the	information provided by each	
	critical information provided by	provided by each resource	information provided by each	resource	
	each resource		resource		
Critical Analysis	Annotation clearly describes	Annotation describes the	Annotation describes the	Does not describe the rationale	30
	the rationale for the inclusion	rationale for the inclusion of	rationale for the inclusion of	for the inclusion of each	
	of each resource and indicates	each resource and indicates	each resource and provides	resource and/or does not	
	how it will be used to inform	how it will be used to inform	some indication on how it will	indicate how it will be used to	
	the strategic analysis on the	the strategic analysis on the	be used to inform the strategic	inform the strategic analysis on	
	publicly held MNE. Totality of	publicly held MNE. Totality of	analysis on the publicly held	the publicly held MNE. Totality	
	resources is more than	resources is sufficient for a full	MNE. Totality of resources is	of resources is insufficient for a	
	sufficient for a full strategic	strategic analysis of the MNE	insufficient for a full strategic	strategic analysis of a MNE	
	analysis of the MNE		analysis of the MNE		
Writing	No errors related to	Minor errors related to	Some errors related to	Major errors related to	20
(Mechanics/	organization, grammar and	organization, grammar, and	organization, grammar and	organization, grammar, style,	
Citations)	style, and citations	style, and citations	style, and citations	and citations	
				Total	100%